

A PROSPECTIVE STUDY ON THE BIDIRECTIONAL ASSOCIATION BETWEEN PARENTING STYLES AND SELF- REGULATION AMONG UNIVERSITY STUDENTS

Dennis RELOJO ^{a*}, Agnes SANTOS ^b, Amelita BORLONGAN ^b

^a Psychreg, London, United Kingdom,

^b Centro Escolar University, Manila, Philippines

Abstract

The objective of this study is to identify the bidirectional relationship between parenting style and self-regulation among Filipino university students. The relationship was assessed using the Scale of Parenting Style and Self-Regulation Questionnaire. Statistical results showed that many of the freshmen (48%) and senior (44%) university students have a negligent style for self-regulation. It also showed that there is negligible correlation between the parenting style and the self-regulation of senior and freshmen university students ($p < .05$). It was revealed that freshmen students have poorer self-control, and this is not just due to having uninvolved parents; other factors may play a role. Senior students of the said university today are more controlled with their emotions and behaviours despite having negligent parents, most probably due to other factors such as self-determination. Self-regulation level may be high or low regardless of what type of parenting style the children's parents may have, because factors, such as self-determination, exist. Recommendations for further studies could be conducted with regard to the other factors for influencing self-regulation, such as assessment of the coping mechanism used by the children who have negligent parents. Other instruments could be used to measure students' self-regulation to measure the consistency of the results of the present study.

Key words: academic performance, parenting styles, self-determination, self-regulation, university students

* Corresponding author. Dennis Relajo
E-mail address: dennis.relojo@gmx.com

1. Introduction

Are parenting styles connected to the self-regulation abilities of children? A study seems to show that parents are a strong predictor of the developmental success of their children, thus associating positive parenting with high levels of regulation in children (Newman, 2017). The study by Newman analysed the relationship of parenting styles and self-regulation wherein authoritarian and permissive parenting styles correlate to students' low-self regulation while authoritative parenting style connect to students' higher self-regulation. Self-regulation is the ability of a person to 'develop, implement and flexibly maintain planned behaviour in order to achieve one's goal', as an act used to manage both emotions and the mind with an aim to succeed in school, workplace and relationships with others. Teenagers with self-regulation abilities are able to control their behaviour, emotions, and to use problem-solving strategies. Self-regulation abilities are usually affected by different parenting styles (Murray & Rosanbalm, 2017).

Parenting styles explain differences in the styles parents use to manage children and to socialise with them. A number of studies have explored how adolescents deal with their emotions (Relajo, 2015). Moreover, finding was supported by Baumrind's (1991) classification of parenting styles which are: authoritative, negligent or uninvolved, permissive or indulgent, and authoritarian. On one study, Baumrind found that the family socialisation and organic process competency longitudinal programme of analysis (FSP) is followed by a presentation of the hypotheses and findings touching on family patterns as determinants of adolescent competency, and of styles of adolescent substance users. Knowledge embodies clusters derived from comprehensive ratings of parents and their children, or even the elderly (Pilao, Relajo, Tubon, & Subida, 2016).

Despite broad accord concerning the consequences of parenting practices on kid development, several questions on the construct parenting vogue stay nonreciprocal. Notably pressing problems are the variability within the effects of parenting vogue as operational of the child's cultural background, the processes through that parenting vogue influence the child's development, and also the operationalisation of parenting vogue. Drawing on historical review, previous research explores a particular model that integrates two traditions in socialisation analysis. Darling and Steinberg (1993) have taken into account the study of specific parenting practices and also the study of worldwide parental characteristics. They propose that parenting vogue is best conceptualised as a context that moderates the influence of specific parenting practices on the kid. It is argued that solely by maintaining the excellence between parenting vogue and parenting observe will researchers address queries regarding socialisation processes.

Examination completed severally within- and across-time periods at ages 4, 9, and 15 years. At Time Three (T3), the sample enclosed 139 adolescents and their parents from a preponderantly affluent, lettered, Caucasian population. Parenting sorts were known that disagree on the bases of commitment and balance of demandingness and responsiveness. Authoritative parents can be exacting and extremely responsive were remarkably winning in protecting their adolescents from downside drug use, and in generating competency as it may affect cognitive distortion (Acharya & Relajo, 2017). Authoritative upbringing, though ample, is not a necessary condition to supply competent children. Casual narcotic use was not related to pathological attributes, either precursive or coincident, though non-users showed associate increment in competency from Time Two (T2) to Time Three (T3). As such, Acharya's work (2017) builds upon previous analysis that implies that longitudinal relationships between victimisation and negative psychosocial outcomes, similarly as between psychosocial personality disorder and the eventful expertise of peer victimisation, could also be tempered by social factors. Participants were assessed on measures of 4 negative personal factors (aggression/depression, withdrawal, aggression, and lack of physical strength); four social factors (peer acceptance, peer rejection, range of reciprocated best friends, and range of reciprocated enemies); victimization and negative psychosocial outcomes, similarly as between psychosocial personality disorder and therefore the eventful expertise of peer victimization. For sure, interpersonal factors tempered several of the longitudinal associations between the non-public factors and victimisation. Most notably, victimization expected increase in internalising behaviours (anxiety/depression and withdrawal) solely below higher levels of peer rejection and range of reciprocated enemies, and lower levels of peer acceptance. To boot, anxiety/depression expected increase in victimization over time, once more solely below high levels of the negative social factors. These results underscore the importance of recognising social discourse factors that promote the cyclical relationship between peer victimization and psychosocial personality disorder.

The widest far-famed classes of parenting designs area unit supported the earlier works of Baumrind. Most of her analysis have targeted on two dimensions of parenting style: authority and fondness. Authority or demandingness refers to the degree to which parents try and manage their children's behaviour by setting rational standards for behaviour. Fondness or responsiveness refers to the number and means love is expressed to the children, and acceptance of the child's points of view. Based on these dimensions, Baumrind identified three classes of parenting designs whereby the authoritative parent shows high levels of management, as well as high levels of affection (Gagani, Gemao, Relajo, & Pilao, 2016). Authoritative parents exercise important authority over their children's behaviour however at constant time they are doing this with love and affection

(Levin, 2011). Maccoby et al. (1983) categorised parenting styles into two dimensions: (1) parental responsiveness and (2) parental control. Parental responsiveness or parental warmth refers to 'the extent to which parents intentionally foster self-regulation by being fully aware and supportive'. On the other hand, parental control or parental demand refers to 'the claims parents make on children to become integrated into the family by disciplinary efforts'.

Normally, the parenting style of the parent of an equivalent sex is a self-regulating factor because the respondent was found to be considerably associated with self-regulation, that is thought to be protecting against alcohol use and abuse. A permissive parent of an equivalent sex was negatively related to smart self-regulatory processes for both boys and girls. Having an authoritative mother was additionally shown to be associated with higher levels of self-regulation for girls. Self-regulation mediates the pathway from a permissive parenting vogue to perceived drinking management, which, in turn, mediate the pathway from self-regulation to alcohol use and related issues. Finally, self-regulation mediates the positive pathway from authoritative mother to perceived management over drinking for girls (Patock-Peckham, Cheong, Balhorn, & Nagoshi, 2001).

A meta-analysis was undertaken, together with twenty-one studies, to work out the impact of parental involvement on the tutorial accomplishment of minority children. Applied analyses were undertaken to work out the effects of parental involvement obtained for every study likewise as specific parts of parental involvement (Jeynes, 2003). Four completely different measures of educational accomplishment were used. The potential differing effects of parental involvement by gender and socioeconomic standing were conjointly thought about. The results indicate that the impact of parental involvement overall is critical for all the minority teams under study. For all teams, parental involvement, as a whole, affected all the tutorial variables under study by a minimum regular deviation unit. However, among a number of races, bound aspects of parental involvement, or even visual working memory (Jenkins, 2018) had a bigger impact than others.

Beyer (1995) provides a review associated an integration of findings on the results of parenting designs and maternal employment on children's tutorial accomplishment. A model is given during which it's argued that maternal employment standing has very little, if any, direct impact on children's tutorial accomplishment. Instead, maternal employment is hypothesised to have an effect on parenting designs that successively have an effect on children's tutorial accomplishment.

Parenting vogue is therefore seen as mediating the impact of maternal employment on children's tutorial accomplishment. The parenting-styles-as-mediator approach will account for a few of the inconsistencies within the maternal employment literature. Researchers were fascinated

by the impact of maternal employment and believe that additional attention should be paid to parenting designs and variable styles should be used to assess analgesic variables. One paper examines whether the connection between parenting vogue and adolescent depressive symptoms, smoking, and educational grades varies in line with quality, gender, and socioeconomic standing. Four parenting designs are distinguished, supporting patterns of parent-adolescent decision-making: autocratic (parents decide), authoritative (joint method, however parents decide), permissive (joint method, however adolescent decides), and unengaged (adolescent decides). The sample enclosed 3,993 15-year-old White, Hispanic, African-American, and Asian adolescents. Results are typically in line with previous findings: adolescents with authoritative parents had the simplest outcomes and people with unengaged parents were least well adjusted, whereas the permissive and also the autocratic designs achieved intermediate results. For the foremost half, this pattern command across ethnic and sociodemographic subgroups.

There was one exception, suggesting that the connection between parenting designs, particularly the unengaged vogue, and depressive symptoms could vary in line with gender and quality. Additional analysis is required to duplicate and make a case for this pattern in terms of ecological factors, cultural norms, and socialisation goals and practices (Radziszewska, Richardson, Dent, & Flay, 1996). For instance, the aim of a previous study was to research the extent to which adolescents' accomplishment methods are related to the parenting designs they expertise in their families. 300 and cardinal 14-year-old adolescents completed a method and Attribution form and a family parenting vogue inventory. Analogous questionnaires were additionally completed by the adolescents' parents, in relation to their wellness (Pilao, S.J., Villanueva, Gornez, Villanueva, & Relajo, 2017). Adolescents' report supported the identification of parenting designs; four styles of families were identified: families with authoritative, authoritarian, permissive and neglectful parenting designs. The results also showed that adolescents from authoritative families applied mostly adjustive accomplishment methods characterised by low levels of failure expectations, task-irrelevant behaviour and passivity, and also the use of self-enhancing attributions.

Adolescents from neglectful families, in turn, applied non-adaptive methods characterised by high levels of task-irrelevant behaviour, passivity and a scarcity of self-enhancing attributions. The results offer a basis for understanding how a number of the processes of certain parenting designs might influence adolescents' educational accomplishment and performance (Aunola, Stattin, & Nurmi, 2000). Glasgow et al. (1997) examined the contemporaneous and prognosticative relations between parenting designs, adolescents' attributions, and four instructional outcomes. Knowledge

were collected from adolescents attending half-dozen high colleges in Golden State and three high colleges in Wisconsin throughout the 1987–1988 and 1988–1989 faculty years. The results of path analyses confirmed the central hypotheses. Adolescents who perceived their parents as being non-authoritative were a lot more seemingly than their peers to attribute action outcomes to external causes or low ability. What is more, the higher the proportion of dysfunctional attributions created for tutorial successes and failures, the lower the amount of room engagement and school assignment one year later. Though adolescents' attributional vogue provided a bridge between parenting vogue and a pair of instructional outcomes, it didn't absolutely make a case for the impact of parenting on those outcomes.

The four styles within the abovementioned items are explained as follows: (1) authoritative style refers to both high responsiveness and control of parents, so they are attentive, forgiving, firm, and consistent in managing their children; (2) permissive or indulgent style is what one sees when parents have high responsiveness and low regulation, and they set minimal punishment and give high acceptance; (3) authoritarian style refers to low responsiveness and high control and so, there is little communication between parent and child. There is too much firmness with regard to control practices; and, (4) negligent or uninvolved style is seen when parents have both low responsiveness and control, thus, parents are inattentive and they neglect the child's needs. Therefore, the objective of this study is to correlate the parenting styles to the self-regulation of university students, specifically freshmen and senior Filipino students.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

There were 50 students purposively selected from freshmen and senior university students in the Philippines. A model of the relationships between the constructs was developed showing a powerful path from parent self-efficacy to each tutorial and non-academic self-regulation via high parental involvement (as perceived by adolescents). Strict parenting and therefore the granting by parents of psychological autonomy to their adolescent children did not seem to be vital within the development of young people's self-regulatory behaviours.

2.2. Instrument

This study made use of the Scale of Parenting Style and Self-Regulation Questionnaire (Brown, Miller, & Lawendowski, 1999), which has demonstrated good reliability and validity, according to earlier research (e.g., Newman, 2017).

3. Results

As can be seen, the statistical findings (Tables 1 and 2) reveal that many of freshmen (48%) and senior university students (44%) have negligent parents. More likely, their parents are not involved or are less involved with the children's life activities and have little or no supervision at all of the children's decision making. Kendra (2018) described a negligent parent to be.

Table 1. *Parenting Style of Freshmen College Students' Parents*

Parenting style	Frequency	%
Authoritative	10%	40
Negligent	12%	48
Authoritarian	3%	12
Total	25%	

Table 2. *Parenting Style of Senior College Students' Parents*

Parenting style	Frequency	%
Authoritative	9	36
Negligent	11	44
Indulgent	2	8
Authoritarian	3	12
Total	25	100

As per assessment of the self-regulation of these two university levels (freshmen and seniors), it has been found that most of the freshmen students appeared to have low self-regulation (68%) whereas most of the senior students have average self-regulation (56%) (Tables 3 and 4). Obviously, there was a difference regarding the seniors' way of self-regulation. Evidently, despite having negligent parents, the senior students are still able to have better self-control emotionally and they can become determined to perform well, compared to most (68%) of the freshmen students who are more problematic, and whose emotional and behavioural responses are less self-regulated.

Table 3. *Self-Regulation of Freshmen College Students*

Self-regulation	Frequency	%
Low	17	68
Average	7	28
High	1	4
Total	25	100

Table 4. *Self-Regulation of Senior College Students*

Self-Regulation	Frequency	%
Low	8	32
Average	14	56
High	3	12
Total	25	100

4. Discussions

Researchers examined the link between parenting designs, educational action, adjustment of ancient faculty freshmen exploitation self-report form and family demographic information. Multivariate analysis models incontestably show that authoritative parenting vogue was completely associated with student educational adjustment. Moreover, vanity was considerably prophetic of social, personal emotional, goal commitment-institutional, academic, and overall adjustment of ancient faculty freshmen. Implications are drawn for parents moreover as academic establishments (Hickman, Bartholomae, & McKenry, 2000). Positive education is outlined as education for each ancient skill and happiness.

The high prevalence worldwide of depression among boys, the little rise in life satisfaction, and therefore the action between learning and positive feeling all argue that the talents for happiness ought to be tutored in class. There is substantial proof from well controlled studies that skills that increase resilience, positive feeling, engagement will be tutored to schoolchildren. On the one hand, we have a tendency to want to teach these skills to a complete school in Australia, and we speculate that positive education can materialize the idea of a ‘new prosperity’, a politics that values the wealth and well-being of everybody (Seligman, Ernst, Gillham, Reivich, & Linkins, 2009). On the other hand, despite some apparent differences in the self-regulation (low and average) of these students today, their parents’ parenting style still appeared to have negligible correlation ($p < 0.05$) to the said students’ level of self-regulation. Thus, the low self-regulation of freshmen students may not be purely attributed to their negligent parents, and the average self-regulation of senior students may possibly be attributed to other factors other than negligent parents. This possibility, today, may be applied to all students having negligent parents (Relajo, & dela Rosa, 2017) which further suggests that the children may have their own coping

mechanism to use to overcome the impact of having uninvolved parents during stressful emotional and academic/physical moments in the 21st century.

5. Conclusions

Based on these results it can be concluded that freshmen students have poorer self-control which cannot be attributed solely to having uninvolved parents. Senior students are more controlled with regard to their emotions and behaviors despite having negligent parents. Self-regulation level may be high or low regardless of what type of parenting style the children's parents may have. Further studies could be conducted regarding the other factors that influence self-regulation, such as assessment of the coping mechanism used by the students with negligent parents. Other instruments could be used to identify self-regulation levels to measure the consistency of the result of the present study. More respondents could also be suggested.

Parent involvement contains a sound analysis base attesting to the numerous potential edges it can give in education. However, student motivation as an educational outcome of parental involvement has solely recently been investigated. The aim of this study is to indicate how parent involvement is related to students' motivation. Studies of scholars from secondary schools show a useful relationship between parental involvement and therefore the following psychological feature constructs: school engagement, intrinsic/extrinsic motivation, perceived ability, perceived management, self-regulation, mastery goal orientation, and motivation to browse. From the synthesis of literature on parent involvement and motivation, we provide potential explanations for his / her relationship. Directions for areas of continuing analysis also are provided. Alternatively, authoritative feeding designs were related to higher levels of general parental responsiveness. Among the two permissive feeding designs, Filipino parents were additionally seeming to be indulgent and uninvolved.

Kim and Rohner (2012) have explored the connection between Baumrind's parenting prototypes and tutorial action, judged by mark average (GPA), of Korean adolescents. It additionally examined the relative contribution to youth's tutorial action of perceived maternal and paternal affection and management and involvement in schooling. Around 74 of the sample youth didn't match any of Baumrind's types (1991), raising questions about the quality of their ethnic analysis. Analysis of the remaining twenty-six showed that youth reared by authoritative and permissive fathers (but not mothers) performed higher than youth raised by authoritarian fathers. Youth raised by authoritative fathers, however, didn't perform considerably higher than youth raised by permissive fathers. Analysis of perceived parental affection and management

exposed a direct correlation between perceived maternal and paternal affection (but not management) and adolescents' standard also as a moderator result of perceived maternal control. Moreover, perceived paternal (but not maternal) involvement in schooling partly mediates the connection between fathers giving affection and adolescents' standard.

Parenting support for preparation was then associated with student and family characteristics and student schooling outcomes. Results indicated parents with students in higher grade levels reported giving students additional preparation autonomy and fewer involvement of all alternative varieties. Parents in poorer families reported less support for autonomy and additional interference. Parents reported less elimination of distractions once the adolescent was admitted to college and, for school students, once there has been more than one kid living within the home. Parents of school boys reported additional direct involvement in preparation, whereas parents of secondary female students reported additional direct involvement. Additional parental support for autonomy was related to higher scores at standardized tests, higher category grades, and additional preparation completed. Additional positive parent involvement was related to lower scores and class grades, particularly for school students. Student attitudes toward preparation were unrelated to parenting support for preparation. Emotional intelligence (EI) is the ability to hold correct reasoning regarding emotions and to use emotions and emotional information to reinforce thought. Truttero-Clark (2017) extends similar investigations into the connection between emotional intelligence (EI) and Mood Regulation (MR), especially those affected with mood issues. However, in contrast to previous works, there was found an association between the temperament variable semitic deity and this implies that clinical interventions aimed towards reducing semitic deity is also of a specific profit to individuals presently experiencing mood issues.

Stage-environment work theory and conceptions of families as variable in resources to support youngsters are wont to make a case for the findings and draw implications for parent behavior and academic observations (Cooper, Lindsay, & Nye, 2000). No variations in self-efficacy belief and interest were shown between the 2 teams before the dissection. The event of self-efficacy belief and interest proved to be considerably plagued by the disgust throughout dissection. Throughout dissection, fed up students were perceived as less effective at mastering the dissection and rumoured lower interest within the heart than students who did not feel that feeling (Holstermann, Grube, & Bogeholz, 2009). These findings counsel that lecturers ought to attempt to scale back disgust-provoking stimuli throughout dissection, since self-efficacy and interest will influence psychological feature likewise as psychological feature and emotional processes.

In summary, this prospective study applied self-determination theory to analyze the consequences of students' course-specific self-regulation and their perceptions of their instructors' autonomy support on adjustment, educational performance and sharing work (Lane, 2018). The study disclosed that: (1) students' reports of getting into the course for comparatively autonomous (vs controlled) reasons expected higher perceived ability, enjoyment, and mental health as characterized lower anxiety (Relajo, 2018) and grade-focused performance goals throughout the course, and were associated with whether or not the students covered the course; and (2) students' perceptions of their instructors' autonomy support expected will increase in autonomous self-regulation, perceived ability, and enjoyment, and reduced anxiety over the semester. The amendment in autonomous self-regulation successively expected students' performance within the course.

Furthermore, pedagogue autonomy supports additionally expected course performance directly, though variations within the initial level of students' autonomous self-regulation qualified that result, with autonomy support relating powerfully to educational performance for college students who are low in autonomous self-regulation however not for students who exhibit high in autonomous self-regulation.

References

- Acharya, S. (2017). Case study of peer victimisation of Indian students in New Zealand: Causes and consequences. *Psychreg Journal of Psychology*, *1*(2), 60–69. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1296760>.
- Acharya, S., & Relajo, D. (2017). Examining the role of cognitive distortion and parental bonding in depressive symptoms among male adolescents: A randomised crossover trial. *Journal of Innovation in Psychology, Education and Didactics*, *21*(1), 7–20. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1289149>.
- Aunola, K., Stattin, H., & Nurmi, J. E. (2000). Parenting styles and adolescents' achievement strategies. *Journal of adolescence*, *23*(2), 205–222. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jado.2000.0308>.
- Beyer, S. (1995). Maternal employment and children' s academic achievement: Parenting styles as mediating variable. *Developmental Review*, *15*(2), 212–253. <https://doi.org/10.1006/drev.1995.1009>.

- Baumrind, D. (1991). The influence of parenting style on adolescent competence and substance use. *The Journal of Early Adolescence*, 11(1), 56–95. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02724316911111004>.
- Brown, J. M., Miller, W. R., & Lawendowski, L. A. (1999). The self-regulation questionnaire. Retrieved from [https://casaa.unm.edu/inst/SelfRegulation%20Questionnaire%20\(SRQ\).pdf](https://casaa.unm.edu/inst/SelfRegulation%20Questionnaire%20(SRQ).pdf).
- Darling, N., & Steinberg, L. (1993). Parenting style as context: An integrative model. *Psychological Bulletin*, 113(3), 487-504. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.113.3.487>.
- Cooper, H., Lindsay, J. J., & Nye, B. (2000). Homework in the home: How student, family, and parenting-style differences relate to the homework process. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 25(4), 464–487. <https://doi.org/10.1006/ceps.1999.1036>.
- Gagani, A., Gemao, J., Relajo, D., Pilao, S.J. (2016). The stages of denial and acceptance among patients with chronic kidney disease. *Journal on Innovation in Psychology, Education and Didactics*, 20(2), 113–114. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1289126>.
- Glasgow, K. L., Dornbusch, S. M., Troyer, L., Steinberg, L., & Ritter, P. L. (1997). Parenting styles, adolescents' attributions, and educational outcomes in nine heterogeneous high schools. *Child development*, 68(3), 507–529. <https://doi.org/10.2307/113167>.
- Hickman, G. P., Bartholomae, S., & McKenry, P. C. (2000). Influence of parenting style on the adjustment and academic achievement of traditional college freshmen. *Journal of College Student Development*, 6(2), 225–245. <https://doi.org/10.2190/uq1b-0ubd-4axc-u7wu>.
- Holstermann, N., Grube, D., & Bogeholz, S. (2009). The influence of emotion on students' performance in dissection exercises. *Journal of Biological Education*, 43(4), 164–168. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00219266.2009.9656177>.
- Jenkins, L. (2018). The detection of smaller changes in visual working memory arrays. *Psychreg Journal of Psychology*, 2(1), 6–19. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1256912>.
- Jeynes, W. H. (2003). A meta-analysis: The effects of parental involvement on minority children's academic achievement. *Education and Urban Society*, 35(2), 202–218. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013124502239392>.
- Kim, K., & Rohner, R. P. (2002). Parental warmth, control, and involvement in schooling: Predicting academic achievement among Korean American adolescents. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 33(2), 127-140. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022102033002001>.
- Lane, A. (2018). From idea to impact: Sharing your work and getting it noticed. *Psychreg Journal of Psychology*, 2(1), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1256912>.

- Levin, E. (2011). Baumrind's parenting styles. In *Encyclopedia of child behavior and development* (pp. 213–215). Springer: Boston, MA.
- Maccoby, E. E., Martin, J. A., Mussen, P. H., & Hetherington, E. M. (1983). Handbook of child psychology: Socialization, personality, and social development. (Vol. 4, PH Mussen [Series Ed.]), 1–101.
- Rosanbalm, K. D., & Murray, D. W. (2017). Promoting self-regulation in the first five years: A practice brief. OPRE Brief 2017-79. *Administration for Children & Families*.
- Newman, Madeline (2017). *The relationship between parenting style and self-regulation in early childhood* (master's thesis). Retrived from Dominican Scholar: Dominican University of California.
- Patock- Peckham, J. A., Cheong, J., Balhorn, M. E., & Nagoshi, C. T. (2001). A social learning perspective: a model of parenting styles, self- regulation, perceived drinking control, and alcohol use and problems. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*, 25(9), 1284–1292. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00000374-200109000-00007>.
- Pilao, S.J., Relajo, D., Tubon, G., & Subida, M. (2016). Examination of factors affecting the feeling of loneliness among the elderly: Implications for intervention. *Journal on Innovation in Psychology, Education and Didactics*, 20(1), 15–26. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1289113>.
- Pilao, S.J., Villanueva, A., Gornez, G.R., Villanueva, J.M., & Relajo, D. (2017). Exploring wellness and quality of life among the elderly as a basis for a nursing care plan and psychosocial intervention. *i-manager's Journal on Nursing*, 7(3), 8–15. <https://doi.org/10.26634/jnur.7.3.13787>.
- Radziszewska, B., Richardson, J. L., Dent, C. W., & Flay, B. R. (1996). Parenting style and adolescent depressive symptoms, smoking, and academic achievement: Ethnic, gender, and SES differences. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 19(3), 289–305. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01857770>.
- Relajo, D. (2015). A randomised controlled trial on brief expressive writing as an intervention tool on exposure to thin-ideal images. *Journal on Innovation in Psychology, Education and Didactics*, 19(2), 295–306. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1289103>.
- Relajo, D. (2018). Football and mental health: Interview with Manisha Tailor. *Psychreg Journal of Psychology*, 2(1), 103–105. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1256945>.
- Relajo, D. & dela Rosa, R. (2017). Improving positive affect based on self-compassion through life goals expressive writing: Basis for an ego identity development among students. *Problems of Psychology in the 21st Century*, 11(1), 51–58. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1289161>.
- Truttero-Clark, A. (2017). Exploring emotional intelligence, mood regulation, and reflective coping in a clinical population. *Psychreg Journal of Psychology*, 1(2), 5–17. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1296749>.

Seligman, M. E., Ernst, R. M., Gillham, J., Reivich, K., & Linkins, M. (2009). Positive education: Positive psychology and classroom interventions. *Oxford review of Education*, 35(3), 293–311. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03054980902934563>.